<u>REMARKS</u>

Claims 1-11 and 26-36 are pending in the present application. Unelected claims 12-25 were canceled without prejudice in the previous amendment. Claims 1 and 26 have been amended herein to place the claims in a condition for allowance or to place the claims in a better form for appeal. Therefore, claims 1-11 and 26-36 are now pending in the present application. As amended, independent Claims 1 and 26 and also the remaining dependent claims 2-11 and 27-36 are believed to be allowable. Reconsideration is requested.

Claims 1-11 and 26-36 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112 second paragraph as being indefinite. This rejection is hereby respectfully traversed.

In the remarks, the Examiner concluded the previous amendments to Claims 1 and 26 made the claims indefinite because it was unclear how the recited limitations of the pedestal of dielectric material and the layer of dielectric material were related one to the other. Applicants have amended these claims herein in light of the Examiners' remarks. As amended, it is believed the claims are definite and clear and recite features of the invention as described in the specification and illustrated, for example, in Figure 7. Accordingly, reconsideration and allowance of the claims over this rejection is requested.

Claims 1-11 were again rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (e) as anticipated by Yu, U.S. Pat. No. 6,420,218. This rejection is hereby respectfully traversed.

In the Examiner's remarks, Yu was characterized as providing each of the elements of claims 1-11. In response, Applicants submit that Yu does not show, teach or suggest the elements of Claim 1 and its dependent claims.

Yu acknowledges that in providing current silicon-on-insulator devices, a problem occurs if the semiconductor layer is too thin. This is particularly troublesome for regions such as source or drain regions where silicidation is desirable as silicidation requires a minimal thickness. (Yu, Col. 3, lines 5-13). Yu provides a solution to this problem by forming trenches within the top semiconductor layer of a silicon-insulator-substrate, filling the trenches with insulator, depositing amorphous silicon over the entire substrate including the insulator trenches and then using a laser

TSM02-1369

excimer process for performing a high temperature anneal to cause the deposited silicon to melt. Then the newly deposited silicon is recrystallized to form the silicon layer which eventually will be used in the subsequent process steps. During the final anneal the temperature of the semiconductor layer reaches 1100 degrees Celsius. (Yu, Col. 7, lines 5-25). The method and structure of Yu is similar to the prior art approach discussed in the background section of the instant application, and, as described in the instant application, involves the use of complicated processing steps and very high processing temperatures which are undesirable. (Applicants' specification, paragraph 7.)

In contrast, Applicants' claimed apparatus provides a novel structure which is distinct from that of Yu and the prior art.

As amended herein, Claim 1 specifically recites:

A semiconductor device, comprising:

a layer of dielectric material overlying a substrate including a dielectric pedestal integral to said layer of dielectric material and extending above remaining portions of said layer of dielectric material and having first sidewalls;

a semiconductor channel region located above said dielectric pedestal and having second sidewalls; and

source and drain semiconductor regions disposed adjacent said first sidewalls of said dielectric pedestal and partially overlying said remaining portions of the layer of dielectric material opposing said channel region and each substantially spanning one of said second sidewalls.

Applicants' respectfully submit that the structure disclosed and taught by Yu does not show, teach or suggest the above recited elements of Claim 1, particularly the "...layer of dielectric material..." "...including a dielectric pedestal integral to the said layer of dielectric material..." and "source and drain semiconductor regions disposed adjacent said first sidewalls of said dielectric pedestal and partially overlying said remaining portions of the layer of dielectric material..."

Yu's oxide island material is not "integral to" the dielectric layer as required by Claim 1, nor is it "located above remaining portions of said dielectric layer" as required. Accordingly, reconsideration and allowance is respectfully requested for Claim 1.

Claims 2-11 depend from and recite additional patentable features on the apparatus of Claim 1, while incorporating the novel features of Claim 1. These claims are therefore also each believed to be allowable over the rejection. Reconsideration and allowance are respectfully requested.

Claims 26-36 were rejected by the Examiner under 35 U.S.C. §103 as being made obvious by Yu, et al. in view of Vu, et al., U.S. Pat. No. 5,807,771. This rejection is also hereby respectfully traversed.

In the Examiner's remarks, the Examiner asserted that Yu, et al. provides the features of Claim 26 which are common to Claim 1 (as worded prior to the amendments herein), but acknowledged that Yu does not provide the vias and interlevel dielectric layers recited by Applicants' Claim 26. Vu is then recited solely for teaching the use of vias and interlevel dielectric layers. The Examiner concluded it would be obvious to one skilled in the art to add the vias and interlevel dielectric layers of Vu to the structure of Yu.

Without addressing whether the required motivation to combine these two prior art references together as the Examiner proposes is present, Applicants submit that the relied-upon combination, even if made, cannot obviate the elements of Claims 26-36.

Claim 26 has again been amended in a manner similar to Claim 1 to further clarify the recited novel structure of Applicants' invention.

As described above with respect to Claim 1, Yu does not show, teach or suggest the recited elements of a dielectric pedestal integral to said dielectric layer located above remaining portions of said dielectric layer and source and drain semiconductor regions disposed adjacent the first sidewalls of said dielectric pedestal and disposed partially overlying said remaining portions of said dielectric layer.

The added reference, Vu, also does not show, teach or suggest these elements and does not cure the omissions of Yu. Accordingly the proposed combination relied upon in the rejection does not make obvious the structure of Claim 26 and the claim is allowable under 35 U.S.C. §103. Reconsideration and allowance is therefore requested.

Claims 27-36 depend from and recite additional patentable features on the structure of Claim 26 while incorporating the allowable features of Claim 26. For the reasons given herein with respect to Claim 26, these dependent claims are also believed to be allowable. Accordingly, reconsideration and allowance is respectfully requested.

The remarks and amendments herein are believed to place this application in a condition for allowance or to place the claims in a better condition for appeal. Allowance of the claims and timely issuance of the instant application as a patent is therefore respectfully requested. Applicants request that the Examiner contact the attorney by telephone if there are any matters which may be addressed which would expedite the processing of this application.

Respectfully submitted,

Reg. No. 36,491

Attorney for Applicants

Slater & Matsil, L.L.P. 17950 Preston Rd., Suite 1000 Dallas, TX 75252

Tel: 972-732-1001 Fax: 972-732-9218